

Journal of Geometry and Physics 21 (1996) 34-42



Quantum kinematics and geometric quantization *

Zhao Qiang a,b

^a Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ^b Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhon, China

Received 26 September 1995

Abstract

Quantum kinematics on a configuration manifold (Angermann et al., 1983; Tolar 1991) extends the notion of schrdinger systems (Segal, 1960; Sĩovček, 1981). Geometric quantization sets as its goal the construction of quantum objects using the geometry of the corresponding classical objects as a point of departure (Kirillov, 1992; Koodhouse, 1992). In this paper, we prove that differential quantum kinematics on a smooth manifold Q derive from the geometric quantization on the cotangent bundle T^*Q .

Subj. Class.: Quantum mechanics 1991 MSC: 58F06 Keywords: Quantum kinematics; Geometric quantization; Polarization

1. Introduction

Let Q be a differential manifold. The family Γ_Q of non-relativistic quantum mechanical systems, localized and moving on Q, is characterized by a set \mathcal{K}_Q of "kinematical objects" called *Borel kinematics* on Q. \mathcal{K}_Q is quantized [1] by Angermann and Doebner and Tolar [2] via a mapping of \mathcal{K}_Q into the set of self-adjoint operators in some Hilbert space H, such that those properties of \mathcal{K}_Q survive, which are both characteristic for Γ_Q and can be used for a rigorous mathematical formulation.

On the other hand, the cotangent bundle $M = T^*Q$ of Q with the canonical 2-form

 $\omega = \mathrm{d} p_a \wedge \mathrm{d} q^a$

is a symplectic manifold, where the q's are coordinates on Q and the p's are the corresponding components of covectors. The Souriau–Kostant formula [5] gives prequantizations of

* Supported by China Postdoctor's Foundation and National Natural Science Foundation of China.

0393-0440/96/\$15.00 Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. *PII* S0393-0440(96)00008-3 (M, ω) . By the real polarization which has the cotangent spaces as its leaves, a number of quantizations of (M, ω) can be obtained. In this paper, we prove that these quantizations are almost the same as the differential quantum kinematics given by [1].

2. Quantum kinematics on smooth manifolds

For a differential manifold Q, $\mathcal{K}_Q = (\mathcal{L}(Q), \mathcal{X}_c(Q))$ is called *Borel kinematics* on Q, where $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ is the σ -algebra of Borel sets of Q—position observables, and $\mathcal{X}_c(Q) = \{X | X \text{ is a smooth complete vector field on } Q\}$ —momentum observables.

Definition 2.1. A triple $(H, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P})$ is called a quantum Borel kinematics on Q iff

- (1) H is a separable Hilbert space;
- (2) **E** is an elementary spectral measure on $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ in *H*;
- (3) $\mathbf{P} : \mathcal{X}_c(Q) \to SA(H)$ (the set of self-adjoint operators on H) is a map with the following properties:
 - (a) $\mathbf{P}(X)$ is the infinitesimal generator of a unitary one-parameter group of "shifts" along X for all $X \in \mathcal{X}_c(Q)$,
 - (b) P is local,
 - (c) **P** is a partial Lie homomorphism; and the domain v^{∞} (see [1]) is dense in H.

Here all the notations are the same as Definition 2 in [1] except that (c) means

 $\mathbf{P}(X + aY) = \mathbf{P}(X) + a\mathbf{P}(Y)$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}_{c}(Q), a \in \mathbb{R}$ whenever $X + aY \in \mathcal{X}_{c}(Q)$, and

$$[\mathbf{P}(X), \mathbf{P}(Y)] = -ih\mathbf{P}([X, Y])$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}_c(Q)$ whenever $[X, Y] \in \mathcal{X}_c(Q)$, where $2\pi h$ is Plank's constant.

Remark. Here quantum kinematics are defined as in [1]. In fact, they are so-called quantum Borel 1-kinematics (QBK¹) in [2] where **E** is only a projection-valued measure in the definition of quantum kinematics.

Two quantum Borel kinematics $(H_j, \mathbf{E}_j, \mathbf{P}_j)$, j = 1, 2, on Q are called *equivalent* iff there exists a unitary map $\phi : H_1 \to H_2$ such that

$$\phi \mathbf{E}_1(B)\phi^{-1} = \mathbf{E}_2(B), \qquad \phi \mathbf{P}_1(X)\phi^{-1} = \mathbf{P}_2(X)$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{L}(Q)$, $X \in \mathcal{X}_c(Q)$.

There is a natural correspondence between the set $C(Q, \mathbb{C})$ of complex-valued functions on Q and the set $\Gamma(L_0)$ of sections of the fibration $L_0 = (Q \times \mathbb{C}, pr_1, Q)$ with pr_1 being the natural projection of $M \times \mathbb{C}$ onto M:

 $s \leftrightarrow f_s$, $s \in \Gamma(L_0)$, $f_s \in C(Q, \mathbb{C})$ such that $s(q) = (q, f_s(q)), q \in Q$.

It is easy to show that f_s is Borel-measurable iff s is Borel-measurable (with respect to the σ -algebra $\mathcal{L}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C})$ on $Q \times \mathbb{C}$).

Fix a smooth Borel measure γ on Q. Define

$$\langle , \rangle_0 : pr_1^{-1}(q) \times pr_1^{-1}(q) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \langle (q, z), (q, z') \rangle_0 = z\overline{z'}, \ q \in Q$$
$$L^2(L_0, \gamma) = \left\{ s \in \Gamma(L_0) | s \text{ is measurable}, \int_Q \langle s, s \rangle_0 \, \mathrm{d}\gamma < +\infty \right\}.$$

Following [1], up to unitary equivalence, any quantum Borel kinematics $(H, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P})$ on Q has the form:

(1) $H = L^2(L_0, \gamma),$

(2) $\mathbf{E}(B)\psi = \xi_B \psi \forall B \in \mathcal{L}(Q), \ \psi \in H$, where ξ_B denotes the indicator function of B.

A quantum Borel kinematics $(H, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P})$ on Q is called *differential* if there exists a differential structure $D = (\tau, a)$ on the point set $Q \times \mathbb{C}$, where τ is a Hausdorff topology for $Q \times \mathbb{C}$ and a is a maximal C^{∞} -altas of charts compatible with τ , such that $L_D =$ $((Q \times \mathbb{C}, D), pr_1, Q, \mathbb{C})$ is a complex line bundle over Q with hermitian metric \langle , \rangle_0 , $\mathcal{L}(Q \times \mathbb{C}, \tau) = \mathcal{L}(Q) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C})$ and the domain v^{∞} equals to the complex vector space $\Gamma_0^{\infty}(L_D)$ of compactly supported differential sections of L_D which is dense in $L^2(L_0, \gamma)$ [1, Theorem 4].

Theorem 2.1. Let Q be a differential manifold and γ be a fixed smooth Borel measure on Q:

$$H_{L} = L^{2}(L, \langle , \rangle, \gamma), \qquad \mathbf{E}(B)\psi = \xi_{B}\psi \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{L}(Q),$$
$$\mathbf{P}(X)|\Gamma_{0}^{\infty}(L) = -\mathbf{i}h \nabla_{X} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{i} + r\right)h \, div_{\gamma} \, X \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}_{c}(Q)$$

define a differential quantum Borel kinematics $(H, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P})$ on Q.

- (2) Every differential quantum Borel kinematics on Q is equivalent to one given by (1).
- (3) Two differential quantum Borel kinematics $(H_j, \mathbf{E}_j, \mathbf{P}_j)$ in (1) characterized by (L_j, r_j) , j = 1, 2, are equivalent if and only if $r_1 = r_2$ and if there is an isometric isomorphism of L_1 onto L_2 , which transforms the connections into each other. Therefore, the set of equivalence classes of differential quantum Borel kinematics on Q can be mapped bijectively onto $\pi_1(Q)^* \times \mathbb{R}$ where $\pi_1(Q)$ denotes the fundamental group of Q and $\pi_1(Q)^*$ its group of characters.

Remark.

(1) The property of flatness of \bigtriangledown in Theorem 2.1 derives from

 $[\mathbf{P}(X), \mathbf{P}(Y)] = -ih\mathbf{P}([X, Y])$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}_c(Q)$ whenever $[X, Y] \in \mathcal{X}_c(Q)$.

(2) There are similar results for quantum Borel r-kinematics (r > 1) of type 0 [2].

For the proof, see Theorems 6 and 7 in [1].

We note that a differential quantum Borel kinematics $(H, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P})$ given by (L, r) in Theorem 2.1 automatically induces maps:

$$Q: C^{\infty}(Q, \mathbb{R}) \to End(H)$$
 and $\mathbf{P}: \mathcal{X}(Q) \to End(H)$

such that

$$Q(f)\psi = f\psi, \qquad \mathbf{P}(X)\psi = (-\mathrm{i}h \nabla_X - (\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i} + r)h\,div_{\nu}\,X)\psi$$

for $f \in C^{\infty}(Q, \mathbb{R}), X \in \mathcal{X}(Q), \psi \in v^{\infty} = \Gamma_0^{\infty}(L)$, where the set End(H) of operators of the Hilbert space H is a Lie algebra with the bracket $[,]_h$:

 $[A, B]_h = \mathrm{i}h^{-1}(AB - BA), \quad A, B \in End(H),$

and $\mathcal{X}(Q)$ is the set of vector fields on Q. Meanwhile, let

 $g_k = C^{\infty}(Q, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathcal{X}(Q).$

Define $[,]: g_k \times g_k \to g_k$ as follows:

$$[X + f, Y + g] = [X, Y] + (Xg - Yf) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(Q), \quad f, g \in C^{\infty}(Q, \mathbb{R})$$

then g_k is a Lie algebra. According to [1], the differential quantum Borel kinematics given by (L, r) induces a Lie homomorphism from g_k into End(H), denote it by $\pi_{(L,i/2+r)}^k$. In fact, replacing $\frac{1}{2}i + r$ by any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ in the formulas of **P**, we also get a Lie homomorphism. Denote it by $\pi_{(L,c)}^k$.

Definition 2.2. A *h*-representation of a Lie algebra g is a pair (H, π) , where H is a Hilbert space and

$$\pi: g \to End(H)$$

is a Lie homomorphism. Two *h*-representations (H_j, π_j) , j = 1, 2, of *g* are called *equivalent* if there exists a unitary isomorphism $\phi : H_1 \to H_2$ such that $\pi_1(X)\phi = \phi\pi_2(X)$ for all $X \in g$.

Theorem 2.2. For any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and any complex line bundle L with the hermitian metric \langle , \rangle and the hermitian linear connection ∇ with vanishing curvature,

 $\pi_{(L,c)}^k: g_k \to End(H_L)$

is a h-representations of g_k , where

$$\pi_{(L,c)}^{k}(f+X)\psi = (-ih \nabla_X - ch \operatorname{div}_{\gamma} X + f)\psi$$

for $f \in C^{\infty}(Q, \mathbb{R}), X \in \mathcal{X}(Q), \psi \in v^{\infty} = \Gamma_0^{\infty}(L)$. In particular, all the differential quantum Borel Kinematics $\pi_{(L,i/2+r)}^k$ on Q are h-representations of g_k .

3. Geometric quantizations of T^*Q

Let $M = T^*Q$ be the cotangent bundle of a smooth manifold Q. Then $\omega = d\theta$ is a symplectic form on T^*Q , where $\theta = p_a dq^a$ is the canonical one-form, the q's are local coordinates on Q, and the p's are the corresponding components of covectors. A Poisson bracket is defined in $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$:

$$\{F,G\} = \sum_{a} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial p_a} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q^a} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q^a} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_a} \right), \quad F,G \in C^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R}).$$

Following Dirac, a *prequantization* is a linear mapping $F \rightarrow \check{F}$ of the Poisson algebra $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ into SA(H) for some Hilbert space H, having the properties: (1) $\check{1} = 1$; (2) $[F_1, F_2] = [\check{F}_1, \check{F}_2]_h$.

Theorem 3.1 (Kostant [5]). For every complex line bundle B over M with a hermitian metric and a hermitian linear connection with the curvature $h^{-1}\omega$, H = closure of $\Gamma_0^{\infty}(B)$ and $\check{F} = -ih \bigtriangledown_{X_F} + F$ define a prequantization, here X_F is the Hamilton vector field of F. Two prequantizations given by B_j , j = 1, 2, are equivalent (i.e. equivalent as h-representations of the Lie algebra $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$) if and only if there is an isometric isomorphism of B_1 onto B_2 , which transforms the connections into each other. So the set of equivalence classes of presentations of $M = T^*Q$ can be parametrized by $\pi_1(M)^* \cong \pi_1(Q)^*$.

Now we choose the vertical polarization P of $M = T^*Q$: $P_m = T_m(T^*_{pr(m)}Q), m \in M$, where $pr : M \to Q$ is the natural projective map of bundle T^*Q on Q. Then Q = M/P. For simplicity, assume that Q is oriented.

Denote by $\Delta_Q \to Q$ the line bundle $\Lambda^n_{\mathbb{C}}(Q)$, where $n = \dim Q$, $\Omega^p_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ the space of complex *p*-forms on *M*, and

$$V_P(M) = \{ X \in \mathcal{X}(M) | X_m \in P_m, m \in M \}.$$

Then for $\beta \in \Omega^n_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$, β is the pull-back of a section of Δ_Q iff $X \vdash \beta = 0$ and $X \vdash d\beta = 0$ for all $X \in V_P(M)$, and the Lie derivative $L_Z\beta$ is also the pull-back of a section of Δ_Q if $Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ whose flow preserves P, where \vdash denotes the contraction of X with β .

Let $K_P \subset \Lambda^n_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ be the canonical line bundle whose fibre at $m \in M$ is the onedimensional subspace of $\Lambda^n T^*_{m,\mathbb{C}} M$ of forms α such that $X \vdash \alpha = 0$ for every $X \in P_m$. It is obvious that $K_P = pr^* \Delta_Q$. Since Q is oriented, the transition functions of Δ_Q and K_P can all be made real and positive. So we can take their square roots $\sqrt{\Delta_Q}$ and $\sqrt{K_P}$ by taking the square roots of the transition functions.

The covariant derivative ∇_X on K_P is defined for $X \in V_P(M)$ by $\nabla_X \beta = X \vdash d\beta$. The sections of K_P which are covariantly constant along P are the pull-backs of *n*-forms on Q. If Z is a vector field on M whose flow preserves P, then the Lie derivative L_Z maps

sections of K_P to sections of K_P . The \bigtriangledown_X and L_Z can pass to the bundle $\delta_P = \sqrt{K_P}$ where they are determined by

$$2(\nabla_X \tau)\tau = \nabla_X \tau^2, \qquad 2(L_Z \tau)\tau = L_Z \tau^2.$$

Here τ is a section of δ_P .

Let *B* be a prequantum bundle, that is, a complex line bundle over *M* with a hermitian metric \langle , \rangle and a hermitian linear connection ∇ with the curvature $h^{-1}\omega$. Set $B_P = B \otimes \delta_P$. Define

$$V_B = \{\tilde{s} = s\tau \in \Gamma^{\infty}(B_P) \mid \forall \chi \; \tilde{s} = (\forall \chi s)\tau + s \; \forall \chi \; \tau = 0\}$$

If $\tilde{s} = s\tau$ and $\tilde{s}' = s'\tau' \in V_B$, then $\langle \tilde{s}, \tilde{s}' \rangle = \langle s, s' \rangle \tau \tau' \in \Gamma^{\infty}(K_P)$ and for $X \in V_P(M)$,

$$abla_X \langle \tilde{s}, \tilde{s}' \rangle = \langle \bigtriangledown_X \tilde{s}, \tilde{s}' \rangle + \langle \tilde{s}, \bigtriangledown_X \tilde{s}' \rangle = 0.$$

Hence we can identify $\langle \tilde{s}, \tilde{s}' \rangle$ with an *n*-form on *Q* and define an inner product on *V*_B by

$$(\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}') = \int_{Q} \langle \tilde{s}, \tilde{s}' \rangle.$$

The completion of $\{\tilde{s} \in V_B | (\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}) < \infty\}$ is a Hilbert space H_B .

Let $\{q^a\}$ be local coordinates on Q, and $\{p_a\}$ the corresponding components of covectors, then a classical observable that generates a flow preserving P is locally of the form

 $F(q, p) = v^a(q)p_a + u(q),$

where v's and u are smooth real-valued functions of q's. It is easy to see that

 $g_P = \{F \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R}) | \text{ whose flow preserving } P\}$

is a Lie subalgebra of the Poisson algebra $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$. For $F \in g_P$, the possible choice of the corresponding quantum observable is the operator \hat{F} that acts on V_B by

$$\ddot{F}\tilde{s}=\dot{F}(s)\tau+csL_{X_F}\tau,$$

where $\tilde{s} = s\tau \in V_B$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to check that \hat{F} is well-defined iff c = -ih. So

$$\tilde{F}\tilde{s} = \check{F}(s)\tau - \mathrm{i}hsL_{X_F}\tau$$

where $\tilde{s} = s\tau \in V_B$.

•

Theorem 3.2. [2] The Hilbert space H_B and the mapping $F \rightarrow \hat{F}$, $F \in g_P$, define a geometric quantization of M which is a h-representation of the Lie algebra g_P .

4. Quantum kinematics and geometric quantization

Now suppose Q, Δ_Q , B as above. Since Q is oriented, $\sqrt{\Delta_Q}$ is trivial. Fix a non-vanishing section τ' of $\sqrt{\Delta_Q}$. Then $\gamma = \tau'^2$ is a section of Δ_Q which can be considered as a Borel measure on Q. Set $\tau = pr^*\tau' \in \Gamma(\delta_P)$. We have $\nabla_X \tau = 0$ for every $X \in V_P(M)$, and

 $V_B = \{\tilde{s} = s\tau | s \in \Gamma^{\infty}(B), \forall x = 0, X \in V_P(M) \}.$

For $F \in g_P$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\tilde{F}(s\tau) = \check{F}(s)\tau + cL_{X_F}\tau, \quad s\tau \in V_B$$

Theorem 4.1. $\pi^g_{(B,c)}: F \to \tilde{F}, F \in g_P$, defines a h-representation of g_P .

For the quantum objects g_K in quantum kinematics and g_P in geometric quantizations, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 4.2. As Lie algebras, g_K is isomorphic to g_P .

Proof. For $f \in C^{\infty}(Q, \mathbb{R}), X \in \mathcal{X}(Q)$, define

 $\phi(f+X)(q,\alpha) = f(q) + \alpha(X_q),$

where $q \in Q$, $\alpha \in T_q^*Q$. Then $\phi(f + X) \in g_P$. It is easy to check that $\phi : g_K \to g_P$ is a Lie isomorphism.

Let $B \to M$ be a complex line bundle with a hermitian metric \langle , \rangle and a hermitian linear connection \bigtriangledown with the curvature $h^{-1}\omega = h^{-1} d\theta$, where $\theta = p_a dq^a$ is the canonical one-form on $M = T^*Q$. Find a collection $\{(U_j, \tau_j)\}$ of local trivializations of B such that $\{U_j\}$ is a contractible open cover of M, $\tau_j : U_j \times \mathbb{C} \cong \pi^{-1}(U_j)$ and $\bigtriangledown_X s_j = 0$ for any $X \in V_P(M)$, where $s_j = \tau_j(\cdot, 1)$ is the unit section of (U_j, τ_j) . Then there exist $c_{jk} \in C^{\infty}(U_j \cap U_k, \mathbb{C})$, and $\theta_j \in \Omega(U_j)$ such that

$$s_k = c_{jk}s_j, \qquad \nabla s_j = -\mathrm{i}h^{-1}\theta_j s_j, \qquad \theta_k - \theta_j = \mathrm{i}h^{-1}(\mathrm{d}c_{jk}/c_{jk}).$$

Since the curvature of ∇ is $h^{-1}\omega = h^{-1} d\theta$, $\theta_j = \theta + dg_j$ for some $g_j \in C^{\infty}(U_j)$.

Now it is easy to see that $\{V_j = pr U_j\}$ and $\{d_{jk} = pr c_{jk}\}$ determine a complex line bundle $L_B \rightarrow Q$ which is the restriction of B on Q and has the hermitian metric $\langle , \rangle | Q$. Moreover, $\{\alpha_j = \theta_j | V_j = dg_j | V_j\}$ determines a hermitian linear connection ∇ of L_B with the vanishing curvature. We can check that

 $Xg_j = 0, \quad Xc_{jk} = 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in V_P(M).$

So $c_{jk} = pr^* d_{jk}$ and $dg_j = pr^* \alpha_j$.

It is easy to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.3. $\tilde{\rho}: B \to L_B$ is a bijection between complex line bundles over M with a hermitian metric and a hermitian linear connection with the curvature $h^{-1}\omega = h^{-1} d\theta$ and complex line bundles over Q with a hermitian metric and a hermitian linear connection with the vanishing curvature. It induces a unitary isomorphism

$$\rho: H_B \to L^2(L_B, \nu), \quad \rho(s\tau) = s | Q.$$

40

Let $\phi : g_K \to g_P$ be the isomorphism in Theorem 4.2. Choose $F \in g_P$, that is, in the cannonical coordinate system on M,

$$F(p,q) = v^a(q)p_a + u(q)$$

such that $Y \in \mathcal{X}(Q)$ when we define $Y_q = v^a(q)(\partial/\partial q^a)$ for $q \in Q$, and $u \in C^{\infty}(Q)$, $F = \phi(Y) + \phi(u)$. The Hamilton vector field of F is

$$X_F = Y - \left(\frac{\partial v^a}{\partial q^b}p_a + \frac{\partial u}{\partial q^b}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_b}.$$

Let $B \to M$ be a complex line bundle with a hermitian metric \langle , \rangle and a hermitian linear connection ∇ with the curvature $h^{-1}\omega = h^{-1} d\theta$, (U_0, τ_0) be a local trivialization of B such that $\nabla_X s_0 = 0$ where s_0 is the unit section of (U_0, τ_0) and $X \in V_P(M)$. We have $\nabla s_0 = -ih^{-1}(\theta + dg)s_0$ for some $g \in C^{\infty}(U_0)$ and Xg = 0 for any $X \in V_P(M)$. Now choose $\tilde{s} = s\tau \in V_B \subset H_B$, then $s|U_0 = fs_0$ for some $f \in C^{\infty}(U_0)$, $Xf = 0 \forall X \in V_P(M)$, and for $c \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\pi^{g}_{(B,c)}(F)\tilde{s} = \check{F}(s)\tau + \frac{1}{2}chsL_{X_{F}}\tau$$

$$= (-ih \nabla_{X_{F}}s + Fs)\tau + \frac{1}{2}chsL_{X_{F}}\tau$$

$$= [-ih(X_{F}f - ih^{-1}\theta(X_{F}) - ih^{-1}X_{F}g)s_{0} + Ffs_{0}]\tau + \frac{1}{2}chsL_{X_{F}}\tau$$

$$= [-ih(Yf - ih^{-1}dg(Y))s_{0} + ufs_{0}]\tau + \frac{1}{2}chsL_{X_{F}}\tau$$

$$= (-ih \nabla_{Y}s + us)\tau + \frac{1}{2}chsL_{Y}\tau.$$

Since $L_Y \tau' = \frac{1}{2} (di v_Y Y) \tau'$, we get

$$\rho(\pi^{g}_{(B,c)}(\phi(Y+u))\tilde{s}) = -\mathrm{i}h \bigtriangledown_{Y} s|Q + us|Q + ch(div_{\gamma} Y)s|Q$$
$$= \pi^{k}_{(L_{B,c})}(Y+u)\rho\tilde{s}.$$

We have proved the following.

Theorem 4.4. As h-representations of g_k (or g_p),

$$\pi^g_{(B,c)} \cong \pi^k_{(L_B,c)}$$

In particular, quantum kinematics $\pi^{k}_{(L_{B},r+i/2)}$ is isomorphic to geometric quantization $\pi^{k}_{(B,r+i/2)}$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark. Quantum Borel *r*-kinematics of type 0 can be obtained from corresponding geometric quantizations where the prequantum bundles are hermitian vector bundles with fibres diffeomorphic to \mathbb{C}^r .

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank professor Min Qian and Maozheng Guo for introducing him into the field of geometric quantization, and Dr. Zhengdong Wang who first suggested the necessity for clarifying the relation between quantum kinematics and geometric quantization.

References

- B. Angermann, H.D. Doebner and J.Tolar, *Quantum Kinematics on Smooth Manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1037 (Springer, Berlin, 1983) pp. 171–208.
- [2] J. Tolar, Borel Quantization and the Origin of Topological Effects in Quantum Mechanics, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 379 (Springer, Berlin, 1991) pp. 179–190.
- [3] I.E. Segal, Quantization of nonlinear systems, J. Math. Phys. 1 (1960) 468-488.
- [4] P. Šťovček, Diploma Thesis Technical University, Prague (1981) (in Czech).
- [5] A.A. Kirillov, Geometric quantization, Dynamical System 4 (1992) 137-172.
- [6] N. Woodhouse, Geometric Quantization (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1992).